




CALIFORNIA
STATE
UNIVERSITY,
FRESNO

MEMORANDUM

DATE: November 21, 2011

TO: Faculty
Department of Recreation Administration
M/S PH 103

FROM: William A. Covino 
Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs

SUBJECT: Approval of your Department Policy on Peer Evaluations
and Student Course Evaluations (RE: APM 322)

I have received and reviewed your departmental documents, and they are tentatively approved for implementation during the remainder of AY11-12.

I am, however, concerned about your department's use of a relatively low statistical standard for student ratings—a standard that may render data used to support AY12-13 RTP recommendations less than persuasive. Thus, it is my hope that, once AY11-12 data becomes widely available, you may wish to adjust the departmental standard upward to a more meaningful measure of relative teaching performance.

In the meantime, I want to reiterate my commitment to our Academic Senate's stated beliefs that student feedback is best viewed from a multi-year perspective, and considered within the larger context of all evidence presented in support of a colleague's teaching effectiveness.

WAC:kyp

cc: Andrew Hoff, Dean, College of Health and Human Services
Ted Wendt, AVP for Academic Personnel

Office of the Provost
and Vice President
for Academic Affairs
Harold H. Haak
Administrative Center
Henry Madden Library
5200 N. Barton Ave. M/S ML54
Fresno, CA 93740-8014
559.278.2636
Fax 559.278.7987



**DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION ADMINISTRATION
POLICY ON ASSESSMENT OF TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS**

APM 322 is the official policy on the Assessment of Teaching Effectiveness. This departmental policy is designed to further define requirements at the departmental level as specified in APM 322.

STUDENT RATINGS OF INSTRUCTION

Each faculty member shall have a minimum of two rated by students annually. Specific guidelines include:

- Tenured: 2 representative courses each academic year on rotating basis so that during a 5 year period the maximum number of different courses are evaluated
- Probationary: every course every semester
- Full-time temporary and part-time: 2 representative courses per academic year. Each course shall be evaluated the first two times it is taught by an individual

Selection of courses for evaluation will occur by the second week of the semester. Implementation will occur at the instructor's discretion anytime during the final third of the semester. The instructor will coordinate with the department office for implementation during the first 15 minutes of the designated course. While the IDEA short form will be the standard paper instrument for the campus, faculty may elect to use either the diagnostic form or online version.

Student ratings of instruction shall be assessed to identify patterns and trends of teaching performance and effectiveness. It is expected that the faculty member shall meet or exceed the department standard 3 out of 5.0 using adjusted or unadjusted scores, whichever are higher, on a regular basis; however, it is more important to evaluate on the basis of multi-year trends rather than focusing on a single course or narrow time frame.

PEER EVALUATIONS

1. Frequency

- a. For part-time temporary faculty, the first time a course is taught by the instructor and, thereafter, at least one section every other year of employment regardless of a break in service.
- b. For full-time temporary faculty, two sections each semester for the first year and two sections each academic year thereafter.
- c. For probationary faculty, two sections (to include as many different courses as possible) every semester.
- d. For tenured faculty, one section each academic year on a rotating basis such that during a five year period the maximum number of different courses is evaluated.

2. Faculty will use the attached departmentally-approved form to evaluate course content, instructional design, instructional delivery and assessment methods.

OVERALL

The Department will follow the guidelines in APM 325, APM 327 and APM 328 when electing committees selected to prepare the overall evaluation of teaching.

APPROVAL PROCESS

Departmental policies will be submitted to the appropriate School/College Dean and to the Provost for review and approval.

Last Updated: September 21, 2011

California State University, Fresno
PEER EVALUATION FORM
Department of Recreation Administration

Instructor Evaluated: _____

Rank: _____ Course: _____ Term/Year: _____

Date of Classroom Visitation: _____

I have discussed the comments on this evaluation with the instructor (date) _____

Instructor: (signature) _____ Evaluator: (signature) _____

Course Content. The assessment of course content shall include a review of the currency of the content of a course, the appropriateness of the level of the content of a course, content clarity, and the appropriateness of the sequencing of the content to best achieve the learning objectives for the course.

COMMENTS:

B. Instructional Design. The assessment of the instructional design of the course shall include a review of learning objectives, syllabi, text/readings, instructional support materials, organization of lecture, calendar, appropriate teaching strategies, and the use of technology appropriate to the class.

COMMENTS:

C. Instructional Delivery. The assessment of delivery shall include a review of oral presentation skills (including mannerisms), written communication skills, skills using various forms of informational technology, enthusiasm projected, and the ability to create an overall environment conducive to student learning, including class organization and management. Also included in observable student/teacher interaction and interest in student learning.

COMMENTS:

D. Assessment Methods. The evaluation of assessment methods shall consist of a review of the tools, procedures, and strategies used for measuring student learning, and providing timely and meaningful feedback to students.

COMMENTS:

E. Overall Impression. Impact of content, design, delivery and assessment methods on instruction on student engagement and learning outcomes. General impressions from observation. Suggestions for growth.

COMMENTS: