



MEMORANDUM

CALIFORNIA
STATE
UNIVERSITY,
FRESNO

DATE: November 21, 2011

TO: Faculty
Department of Anthropology
M/S PB 20

FROM: William A. Covino Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs

SUBJECT: Approval of your Department Policy on Peer Evaluations
and Student Course Evaluations (RE: APM 322)

I have received and reviewed your departmental documents, and they are tentatively approved for implementation during the remainder of AY11-12.

I am, however, concerned about your department's use of a relatively low statistical standard for student ratings—a standard that may render data used to support AY12-13 RTP recommendations less than persuasive. Thus, it is my hope that, once AY11-12 data becomes widely available, you may wish to adjust the departmental standard upward to a more meaningful measure of relative teaching performance.

In the meantime, I want to reiterate my commitment to our Academic Senate's stated beliefs that student feedback is best viewed from a multi-year perspective, and considered within the larger context of all evidence presented in support of a colleague's teaching effectiveness.

WAC:kyp

cc: Luz Gonzalez, Dean, College of Social Sciences
Ted Wendt, AVP for Academic Personnel

Office of the Provost
and Vice President
for Academic Affairs

Harold H. Haak
Administrative Center
Henry Madden Library
5200 N. Barton Ave. M/S ML54
Fresno, CA 93740-8014

559.278.2636

Fax 559.278.7987



DEPARTMENT OF ANTHROPOLOGY POLICY ON ASSESSMENT OF TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS

APM 322 is the official policy on the Assessment of Teaching Effectiveness. This Departmental policy is designed to further define requirements at the Departmental level as specified in APM 322.

STUDENT RATINGS OF INSTRUCTION

While the IDEA Short Form will be the standard paper instrument for the campus, faculty may elect to use either the Diagnostic Form or Online version.

Student ratings of instruction shall be assessed to identify patterns and trends of teaching performance and effectiveness. It is expected that the faculty member shall meet or exceed the department standard 3.0 out of 5.0 using adjusted or unadjusted scores, whichever are higher, on a regular basis; however, it is more important to evaluate on the basis of multi-year trends rather than focusing on a single course or narrow time frame.

Frequency

- a. For part-time and full-time temporary faculty, each faculty member shall have all sections rated by students every semester.
- b. For probationary faculty, each faculty member should have a minimum of two sections rated (to include as many different courses as possible) every semester.
- c. For tenured faculty, each faculty shall have a minimum of two sections rated by students annually.

PEER EVALUATIONS

1. Frequency

- a. For part-time and full-time temporary faculty, one section each semester.
- b. For probationary faculty, one section every semester.
- c. For tenured faculty, one section each every semester.

OVERALL

The Department will follow the guidelines in APM 325, APM 327 and APM 328 when electing committees selected to prepare the overall evaluation of teaching.

APPROVAL PROCESS

Departmental policies will be submitted to the appropriate School/College Dean and to the Provost for review and approval.

PEER EVALUATION FORM

DEPARTMENT OF ANTHROPOLOGY

Professor Evaluated: _____
Rank: _____ **Course:** _____ **Term/Year:** _____
Date of Classroom Visitation: _____ **Name of Evaluator:** _____

Signature: _____

Category:

A. Course Content. The assessment of course content shall include a review of the currency of the content of a course, the appropriateness of the level of the content of a course, and the appropriateness of the sequencing of the content to best achieve the learning objectives for the course.

B. Instructional Design. The assessment of the instructional design of the course shall include a review of learning objectives, syllabi, instructional support materials, organization of lectures, and the use of technology appropriate to the class.

C. Instructional Delivery. The assessment of delivery shall include a review of oral presentation skills, skills using various forms of informational technology, and the ability to create an overall environment conducive to learning.

D. Assessment Methods. The evaluation of assessment methods shall consist of a review of the tools, procedures, and strategies used for measuring student learning, and providing timely and meaningful feedback to students.

OVERALL RANKING

Inadequate	Substantial Improvement Needed	Meets Departmental Expectations	Exceeds Departmental Standards
------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------------------------