# Assessment of Effectiveness of Student Support Programs

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1 Purpose of the study

Student Support Programs (SSP) consists of five supporting programs: the Educational Opportunity Program (EOP), College Assistance Migrant Program (CAMP), University Migrant Services (UMS), Summer Bridge, and Student Support Services Program. The purpose of this study is to determine if the SSP programs have a positive impact on students' performance in terms of retention and graduation rates.

### 1.2 Dataset and methods

The Total of 7042 First-time full-time freshmen (FTFTF) who entered in fall 2003, 2004 and 2005 are included in the analysis. These students' SSP experience is measured through two variables: SSP participation status and SSP participation amount.

<u>SSP participation status</u> classifies all students into two groups. The group of SSP students includes students who participated in at least one of five support programs at their entering terms. The group of Others includes students who never participated in any of the five support programs.

**SSP participation amount** is the number of SSP programs in which a student participated. The group of "0" includes students who never participated in any of the five support programs. The group of "1" includes students who participated in only one of the five support programs at their entering terms. And the group of "2+" includes students who participated in two or more of the five support programs at their entering terms.

The data on students' demographics (gender, ethnicity, URM status, First generation status (FGS) and Pell Grant eligibility status) and academic preparation (HS GPA, SAT scores and English and Math remediation status) are also included in the analysis.

Descriptive statistics are used to identify SSP students' characteristics and performance and logistic regression models are used to determine the effects of SSP when statistically controlling for demographics and academic preparation.

# 2. Major findings

The following is the major findings based on three-cohort combined data.

2.1 Characteristics of SSP students (Table 1, Appendix I)

**Demographic characteristics**: Compared to students who did not participate in SSP, SSP students are more likely to be Female (69.4% VS 58.1%), First generation students (96.0% VS 58.0%), and to be eligible to apply for Pell Grant (90.0% VS 37.1%). Also, SSP students are more likely to be under-

represented minority students (62.2% VS 33.2%). Particularly, the majority of SSP students are Hispanic (54.8% VS 25.2%).

<u>Academic preparation</u>: Compared to students who did not participate in SSP, SSP students have lower HS GPA and SAT score, and are more likely to need English or Math remediation.

<u>Students who participated in multiple SSP programs</u>: Among three groups of SSP participation amount, Students who participated in multiple SSP programs are more likely to be URM and to be eligible to apply for Pell Grant. Also they have the lowest HS GPA, SAT score and are more likely to need English or Math remediation.

2.2 Performance of SSP students (Table 2, Appendix I)

**<u>Retention</u>**: Compared to students who did not participate in SSP, SSP students are more likely to retain after the 1st year (86.4% VS 83.3%), the 2nd year (74.8% VS 72.9%), the 3rd year (68.4% VS 66.0%), and the 4th year (55.8% VS 46.4%).

<u>Graduation</u>: Compared to students who did not participate in SSP, SSP students are less likely to graduate with a bachelor's degree within 5 years (27.3% VS 40.1%), 6 years (42.1% VS 50.7%), and 7 years (49.1% VS 55.4%). However, the gap between both groups from the 5-year rate to the 7-year is decreasing (See Chart 1a below). The gap narrowed from 12.8% for the 5 year graduation rate to 6.3% for the 7 year graduation rate.



(SSP retention study.doc), Office of Institutional Effectiveness, Hongtao Yue, 04/23/2013

We further conducted controlled comparisons on the graduation rate by only including students who meet all five criteria: Underrepresented Minority (URM), First-Generation Students (FGS), Eligibility for Pell Grant, and Needing both English and Math Remediation. Of these students, SSP students have higher graduation rates than students who did not participate in any SSP programs even through SSP students have lower HS GPA and SAT scores (See the table below).

			Academic	preparation		Gra	aduation	rates	
		HC	Avg. HS GPA	Avg. SAT_COMP	5 years	6 years	7 years	8 years	9 years
Three cohorts	Others	423	3.17	800	21.7%	34.3%	40.9%		
combined	SSP students	439	2.98	745	23.7%	38.0%	45.6%		
Fall 2003	Others	116	3.12	797	25.0%	32.8%	41.4%	43.1%	46.6%
	SSP students	117	2.97	720	21.4%	34.2%	43.6%	48.7%	48.7%
Fall 2004	Others	144	3.19	796	21.5%	31.9%	39.6%	41.0%	
	SSP students	145	3.06	753	26.2%	38.6%	42.1%	46.2%	
Fall 2005	Others	163	3.19	805	19.6%	37.4%	41.7%		
	SSP students	177	2.94	755	23.2%	40.1%	49.7%		

Table 1	Controlled	comparison	on graduation*
---------	------------	------------	----------------

\* Only includes FTFTF who have the following characteristics: 1) Being URM, FGS, Pell Grant eligible. 2) Requiring English and Math remediation.

<u>Students who participated in multiple SSP programs</u>: As demonstrated in Chart 1b above, students who participated in multiple SSP programs have the lowest graduation rates among the three types of SSP participation. However, the difference in graduation rates between them appears to be narrowing over time.

### 2.3 Effects of SSP participation

To determine the effects of SSP participation, the binary logistic regression models are applied when statistically controlling other factors' influences in the models as covariates. The dependent variable (DV) is retention or graduation status (0 = Not retained/not graduate, and 1 = Retained/Graduated). The independent variable (IV) of interest is SSP participation status/amount. The models also included the following controlling variables: Gender, URM status, FGS status, Pell Grant eligibility status, HS GPA, English and Math remediation status. The estimated odds ratio, EXP(B), is used to determine the effect of SSP participation. That the estimated EXP(B) is larger than one means that SSP participation status has a positive effect on retention and graduation. Appendix II includes the detailed results of fitting logistic regression models on retention and graduation rates for the three cohorts combined (The detailed results for three cohorts separately are available upon request).

### SSP participation status (See Table 2 below)

**Retention:** The estimated odds ratio is 1.927 for the 1<sup>st</sup> year retention, 1.652 for the 2<sup>nd</sup> year retention, 1.677 for the 3rd year retention and 1.448 for the 4th year retention. In other words, compared to students who did not participate in any SSP programs, SSP participants are

(SSP retention study.doc), Office of Institutional Effectiveness, Hongtao Yue, 04/23/2013

significantly more likely to retain after the 1st-year/ 2nd year/3rd year/ 4th year when statistically controlling the influences of other factors (demographics and academic preparation) in the models.

				<u> </u>									
		Rete	ntion				Graduatior	)					
	1st year	2nd year	3rd year	4th year	5 years	6 years	7 years	8 years	9 years				
SSP participation status (SSP students compared to students who didn't participate in SSP, which is the reference group)													
Three cohorts combined	1.927***	1.652***	1.677***	1.448***	1.109	1.331***	1.382***						
Fall 2003	2.037***	1.621**	1.688***	1.324**	1.121	1.185	1.214	1.241	1.224				
Fall 2004	2.187***	1.897***	1.829***	1.599***	1.041	1.307*	1.292*	1.373**					
Fall 2005	1.793***	1.544**	1.586**	1.487**	1.168	1.506**	1.681***						

Table 2 Estimated odds ratio of EXP(B) for SSP participation status

Note: \*\*\* P-value <0.001, \*\* P-value <0.05 and \* P-value <0.1.

**Graduation**: The estimated odds ratio is 1.109 for the 5-year graduation (which is not statistically significant), 1.331 for the 6-year graduation, 1.382 for the 7-year graduation. In other words, compared to students who did not participate in any SSP programs, SSP participants are significantly more likely to graduate within 6 years and 7 years when statistically controlling the influences of other factors (demographics and academic preparation) in the models.

# SSP participation amount (See Table 3 below)

**Retention:** Compared to students who did not participate in any SSP programs, SSP students who participated both in only one SSP program and in two or more SSP programs are significantly more likely to retain after the 1st-year/ 2nd year/3rd year/ 4th year when statistically controlling the influences of other factors (demographics and academic preparation) in the models.

Furthermore, the estimated odd ratios for SSP students who participated in two or more SSP programs are larger than for SSP students who participated in only one SSP program (1.740 VS 1.618 for the 2<sup>nd</sup> year retention 1.776 VS 1.640 for the 3<sup>rd</sup> year retention, 1.468 VS 1.441 for the 4<sup>th</sup> year retention), which may imply that participating in more SSP programs will increase the likelihood of retention after the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> year.

			Rete	ntion				Graduation	1	
		1st year	2nd year	3rd year	4th year	5 years	6 years	7 years	8 years	9 years
SSP participation	on amount (SSP students compared to s	tudents wi	no didn't pa	articipate i	n SSP, whi	ch is the re	ference gr	oup)		
Three cohorts	Participated in one SSP program	1.958***	1.618***	1.640***	1.441***	1.137	1.325**	1.333**		
combined	Participated in two or more SSP programs	1.855***	1.740***	1.776***	1.468**	1.026	1.348**	1.523**		
	Participated in one SSP program	2.482***	1.494**	1.608**	1.320*	1.142	1.079	1.084	1.149	1.139
Fall 2003	Participated in two or more SSP programs				•					
		1.532*	1.894**	1.856**	1.330	1.072	1.438*	1.527**	1.449*	1.416*
	Participated in one SSP program	2.370***	2.007***	2.022***	1.727***	1.070	1.387**	1.390**	1.468**	
Fall 2004	Participated in two or more SSP programs									
		1.812*	1.644*	1.416	1.283	0.952	1.092	1.043	1.133	
	Participated in one SSP program	1.637**	1.494**	1.441**	1.380**	1.187	1.486**	1.533**		
Fall 2005	Participated in two or more SSP programs	2.447**	1.711**	2.179**	1.923**	1.098	1.577**	2.284***		

Table 3 E	stimated odds ratio of	EXP(B) for SSP	participation	n amount

Note: \*\*\* P-value <0.001, \*\* P-value <0.05 and \* P-value <0.1.

**Graduation:** Compared to students who did not participate in any SSP programs, SSP students who participated both in only one SSP program and in two or more SSP programs are significantly more likely to graduate within 6 years and 7 years when statistically controlling the influences of other factors (demographics and academic preparation) in the models.

Furthermore, the estimated odd ratios for SSP students who participated in two or more SSP programs are larger than for SSP students who participated in only one SSP program (1.348 VS 1.325 for 6-year graduation, 1.523 VS 1.333 for 7-year graduation). This may imply that participating in more SSP programs will increase the likelihood of graduation within 6 years, particularly in 7 year.

It is worth noting that the same procedures are also run for three cohorts separately. The pattern is clear that in all cases, the estimated odd ratios are larger than 1.0 even through many of them are not statistically significant.

# 3. Conclusion

The results show SSP programs significantly improve students' retention and graduation rates. Students who participate in SSP programs are more likely to retain after their 1<sup>st</sup> year, 2<sup>nd</sup> year, 3<sup>rd</sup> year and 4<sup>th</sup> year. Furthermore, SSP programs students are more likely than students who are not in SSP programs to graduate with a bachelor's degree within 6 years and 7 years. (The effect size of participating in SSP programs on 7 year graduation rate is slightly larger than the effect size on 6 year graduation rate.)

Like students who participate in only one SSP program, students who participate in two or more SSP programs are more likely to retain and graduate than students who did not participate in any SSP programs. The effect size of participating in multiple SSP programs is slightly larger than that of participating in one SSP program except for 1<sup>st</sup> year retention and 5 year graduation, which may imply that for students who are at-risk the most (or for the most disadvantageous students), participating in more SSP programs tends to keep them more engaged which would increase the chance for academic success in the long term.

# Appendix

Appendix I: Descriptive statistics

Appendix II: Results of fitting logistic regression models on retention and graduation

Appendix III: Summer Bridge report

(SSP retention study.doc), Office of Institutional Effectiveness, Hongtao Yue, 04/23/2013

### Table 1 Students' characteristics\* (FTFTF cohorts)

\* Under-represented minorities (URM) include African American American Indian, Hispanic, Native Hawaiians or Pacific Islanders. First generation students whoseparent(s) doesn't have a 4-year degreeor higher. Pell grant eligible to the two are eligible to apply for Pell grants.

### Table 1a SSP participation status

The classification of two students of two students of the students of the students who participated in at least one of five support programs (CAMP, EOP, SSSP, UMSor Summer Bridge) at their entering terms. The group of Others includes students who never participated in any of five support programs.

			Demograp	hics-l							Demo	graphic	s-II (Eth	nicity)						Acade	mic prep	aration		
		HC	Female %	URM %	FGS %	Pell eligibility % a	Special admission %			African Americ an	Americ an Indian	Asian	Pacific Islande r	Hispa	White	Other/ Unkno wn	Non- Reside nt Alien	Grand Total			Avg. HS GPA	Avg. SAT_COM P	Eng remediatio n %	Math remediatio n %
Fall	Others	2,064	59.0%	31.4%	58.7%	38.2%	4.5%	Fall	Others	6.5%	0.8%	13.1%	0.5%	23.6%	43.7%	10.4%	1.4%	100.0%	Fall	Others	3.34	980	35.6%	45.0%
2003 S	Student support progr	404	68.8%	60.9%	96.2%	92.3%	38.9%	2003	Student support p	8.7%		26.0%	0.5%	51.7%	3.5%	5.0%	4.7%	100.0%	2003	Studentsupportprograms	3.13	781	65.8%	70.0%
Fall	Others	1,853	57.6%	32.0%	56.9%	35.7%	2.9%	Fall	Others	5.9%	0.5%	14.7%	0.6%	24.9%	44.7%	7.9%	0.7%	100.0%	Fall	Others	3.37	989	54.7%	48.4%
2004	Student support progr	378	72.5%	61.4%	97.0%	89.9%	36.2%	2004	Student support p	5.8%		28.6%	0.8%	54.8%	2.6%	2.1%	5.3%	100.0%	2004	Studentsupportprograms	3.17	793	87.8%	79.1%
Fall	Others	1,885	57.7%	36.2%	58.4%	37.2%	3.4%	Fall	Others	7.7%	0.8%	15.3%	0.3%	27.3%	40.9%	6.4%	1.2%	100.0%	Fall	Others	3.31	974	54.5%	48.1%
2005	Student support progr	458	67.2%	64.0%	95.1%	88.0%	37.8%	2005	Student support p	6.3%		24.7%	0.2%	57.4%	3.1%	1.3%	7.0%	100.0%	2005	Student support programs	3.09	812	88.2%	73.6%

						Pell	Special admission			African Americ	Americ an		Pacific		ι	Other/ Jnknow	Non- Reside	Grand			Avg. HS	Avg. SAT_CO r	Eng emediatio	Math remediati
		HC	Female %	URM %	FGS %	eligibility %	%			an	Indian	Asian	Islander	Hispa	White	n	nt Alien	Total			GPA	MP	n %	on %
Overa	II Others	5,802	58.1%	33.2%	58.0%	37.1%	3.6%	Overall	Others	6.7%	0.7%	14.3%	0.5%	25.2%	43.1%	8.3%	1.1%	100.0%	Overall	Others	3.34	981	47.9%	47.1%
	Student support programs	1,240	69.4%	62.2%	96.0%	90.0%	37.7%		Student sup	6.9%		26.3%	0.5%	54.8%	3.1%	2.7%	5.7%	100.0%		Student support programs	3.13	796	80.8%	74.1%

### Table 1b SSP participation amount

The classification of three student groups is based on the number of Student Support Programs in which a student participated. The group of "1" includes students who participated in any of five support programs (CAMP, EOP, SSSP, UMSor Summer Bridge). The group of "1" includes students who participated in only one of five support programs at their entering terms. And the group of "2+" includes students who or more of five support programs at their entering terms.

				Demogra	aphics-I							Demo	graphic	s-II (Etl	nnicity)							Academic	preparation	1	
		нс	:	Female %	URM %	FGS %	Pell eligibility %	Special admission %			African Americ an	Americ an Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Hispa	White	Other/ Unkno wn	Non- Reside nt Alien	Grand Total			Avg. HS GPA	Avg. SAT_COMP	Eng remediation %	Math remediation %
Fall 2003	30	2,064		59.0%	31.4%	58.7%	38.2%	4.5%	Fall 2003	0	6.5%	0.8%	13.1%	0.5%	23.6%	43.7%	10.4%	1.4%	100.0%	Fall 2003	0	3.34	980	35.6%	45.0%
	1	262	2	71.8%	56.5%	96.3%	89.7%	32.4%		1	5.0%		29.0%	0.4%	51.1%	3.8%	3.8%	6.9%	100.0%		1	3.20	797	62.2%	67.6%
	2+	142	2	63.4%	69.0%	96.1%	97.2%	50.7%		2+	15.5%		20.4%	0.7%	52.8%	2.8%	7.0%	0.7%	100.0%		2+	3.01	752	72.5%	74.6%
Fall 2004	4 0	1,853	;	57.6%	32.0%	56.9%	35.7%	2.9%	Fall 2004	0	5.9%	0.5%	14.7%	0.6%	24.9%	44.7%	7.9%	0.7%	100.0%	Fall 2004	0	3.37	989	54.7%	48.4%
	1	279	)	73.8%	59.1%	96.6%	87.1%	31.9%		1	3.6%		29.0%	0.4%	55.2%	2.5%	2.2%	7.2%	100.0%		1	3.20	807	86.4%	75.6%
	2+	99	)	68.7%	67.7%	98.0%	98.0%	48.5%		2+	12.1%		27.3%	2.0%	53.5%	3.0%	2.0%		100.0%		2+	3.09	753	91.9%	88.9%
Fall 2005	5 0	1,885	;	57.7%	36.2%	58.4%	37.2%	3.4%	Fall 2005	0	7.7%	0.8%	15.3%	0.3%	27.3%	40.9%	6.4%	1.2%	100.0%	Fall 2005	0	3.31	974	54.5%	48.1%
	1	347		68.3%	63.1%	94.8%	84.7%	32.6%		1	4.0%		22.5%		59.1%	3.7%	1.7%	8.9%	100.0%		1	3.13	830	86.7%	67.1%
	2+	111		64.0%	66.7%	96.2%	98.2%	54.1%		2+	13.5%		31.5%	0.9%	52.3%	0.9%		0.9%	100.0%		2+	2.96	756	92.8%	93.7%
		нс		Female %	URM %	FGS %	Pell eligibility %	Special admission %			African Americ an	Americ an Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Hispa	White	Other/ Unkno wn	Non- Reside nt Alien	Grand Total			Avg. HS GPA	Avg. SAT_COMP	Eng remediation %	Math remediation %
Overall	0	5,802		58.1%	33.2%	58.0%	37.1%	3.6%	Overall	0	6.7%	0.7%	14.3%	0.5%	25.2%	43.1%	8.3%	1.1%	100.0%	Overall	0	3.34	981	47.9%	47.1%
	1	888		71.1%	59.9%	95.8%	86.9%	32.3%		1	4.2%		26.5%	0.2%	55.5%	3.4%	2.5%	7.8%	100.0%		1	3.17	813	79.4%	69.9%
	2+	352		65.1%	67.9%	96.7%	97.7%	51.1%		2+	13.9%		25.9%	1.1%	52.8%	2.3%	3.4%	0.6%	100.0%		2+	3.01	754	84.4%	84.7%

 
 Table 2a
 SSP participation status

 The classification of two students groups is based on students participation status. The group of student support programs includes students who participated in at least one of fives upport programs (CAMP, EOP, SSSP, UMS or Summer Bridge) at their entering terms. The group of Others includes students who never participated in at least one of fives upport programs (CAMP, EOP, SSSP, UMS or Summer Bridge) at their entering terms. The group of Others includes students who never participated in at least one of fives upport programs (CAMP, EOP, SSSP, UMS or Summer Bridge) at their entering terms. The group of Others includes students who never participated in at least one of fives upport programs (CAMP, EOP, SSSP, UMS or Summer Bridge) at their entering terms. The group of Others includes students who never participated in at least one of fives upport programs (CAMP, EOP, SSSP, UMS or Summer Bridge) at their entering terms. The group of Others includes students who never participated in at least one of fives upport programs (CAMP, EOP, SSSP, UMS or Summer Bridge) at their entering terms. The group of Others includes students who never participated in at least one of fives upport programs (CAMP, EOP, SSSP, UMS or Summer Bridge) at their entering terms. The group of Others includes students who never participated in at least one of fives upport programs (CAMP, EOP, SSSP, UMS or Summer Bridge) at their entering terms. The group of Others includes students who never participated in at least one of fives upport programs (CAMP, EOP, SSSP, UMS or Summer Bridge) at their entering terms.
any of five support programs.

		HC	1st-year retention	2nd-year retention	3rd-year retention	4th-year retention	4-year graduation	5-year graduation	6-year graduation	Continuing rates aft	7-year graduation	8-year graduation	9-year graduation
Fall 2003	Others	2,064	82.9%	72.5%	64.9%	46.2%	15.6%	38.9%	49.6%	9.0%	54.3%	56.4%	57.8%
	Studentsupportprograms	404	88.1%	75.2%	68.3%	54.7%	7.4%	26.7%	38.9%	13.6%	46.5%	49.3%	51.0%
Fall 2004	Others	1,853	84.9%	72.9%	66.7%	46.0%	18.7%	41.7%	51.9%	9.0%	56.7%	59.0%	
	Studentsupportprograms	378	89.4%	78.3%	72.2%	58.2%	8.2%	28.8%	43.9%	15.3%	49.7%	53.4%	
Fall 2005	Others	1,885	82.1%	73.3%	66.6%	47.2%	15.3%	39.9%	50.9%	9.1%	55.5%		
	Student support programs	458	82.3%	71.4%	65.3%	54.8%	7.4%	26.4%	43.4%	13.8%	50.9%		
		HC	1st-year retention	2nd-year retention	3rd-year retention	4th-year retention	4-year graduation	5-year graduation	6-year graduation	Continuing rates aft	7-year graduation	8-year graduation	9-year graduation
Overall	Others	5,802	83.3%	72.9%	66.0%	46.4%	16.5%	40.1%	50.7%	9.0%	55.4%	57.6%	57.8%
	Studentsupportprograms	1,240	86.4%	74.8%	68.4%	55.8%	7.7%	27.3%	42.1%	14.2%	49.1%	51.3%	51.0%

Note: The overall 9-year graduation rate is for Fall 2003 cohort only. The overall 8-year graduation rate is for Fall 2003 and 2004 cohorts.

### Table 2b SSP participation amount

The classification of threestudent groups is based on the number of Student Support Programs in which a student participated. The group of "0" includes students who never participated in any of five support programs (CAMP, EOP, SSSP, UMSor Summer Bridge). The group of "1" includes students who participated in only one of five support programs at their entering terms. And the group of "2+" includes students who or more of five support programs at their entering terms.

			1at year retention	and year ratentian	and year rotantian	4th year ratentian	4 year and uction	E year and untion	6 year graduation	Continuing rates after	7 year graduation	9 year graduation	0 year graduation
		нс	ist-year retention	2nd-year retention	Sid-year retention	401-year retention	4-year graduation	5-year graduation	o-year graduation	ouryi	7-year graduation	o-year graduation	9-year graduation
Fall 2003	0	2,064	82.9%	72.5%	64.9%	46.2%	15.6%	38.9%	49.6%	9.0%	54.3%	56.4%	57.8%
	1	262	90.5%	74.8%	69.1%	54.6%	8.0%	29.4%	39.3%	13.7%	46.6%	50.0%	51.9%
	2+	142	83.8%	76.1%	66.9%	54.9%	6.3%	21.8%	38.0%	13.4%	46.5%	47.9%	49.3%
Fall 2004	0	1,853	84.9%	72.9%	66.7%	46.0%	18.7%	41.7%	51.9%	9.0%	56.7%	59.0%	
	1	279	90.3%	79.9%	74.6%	59.9%	9.0%	30.1%	46.2%	16.5%	52.3%	55.9%	
	2+	99	86.9%	73.7%	65.7%	53.5%	6.1%	25.3%	37.4%	12.1%	42.4%	46.5%	
Fall 2005	0	1,885	82.1%	73.3%	66.6%	47.2%	15.3%	39.9%	50.9%	9.1%	55.5%		
	1	347	81.6%	71.8%	64.0%	53.0%	8.1%	28.2%	44.7%	11.5%	50.1%		
	2+	111	84.7%	70.3%	69.4%	60.4%	5.4%	20.7%	39.6%	20.7%	53.2%		

										Continuing rates after			
		HC	1st-year retention	2nd-year retention	3rd-year retention	4th-year retention	4-year graduation	5-year graduation	6-year graduation	- 6th yr	7-year graduation	8-year graduation	9-year graduation
Overall	0	5,802	83.3%	72.9%	66.0%	46.4%	16.5%	40.1%	50.7%	9.0%	55.4%	57.6%	57.8%
	1	888	86.9%	75.2%	68.8%	55.6%	8.3%	29.2%	43.6%	13.7%	49.8%	53.0%	51.9%
	2+	352	84.9%	73.6%	67.3%	56.3%	6.0%	22.4%	38.4%	15.3%	47.4%	47.3%	49.3%

Note: The overall 9-year graduation rate is for Fall 2003 cohort only. The overall 8-year graduation rate is for Fall 2003 and 2004 cohorts.

									Rete	ntion													Gradu	ation					
			1st-year	Retentior	ı	1	2nd-year	Retentio	n		3rd-year	Retentio	n		4th-year	Retentio	n	G	iraduated	in 5 yea	rs	G	iraduated	l in 6yea	rs	G	raduated	l in 7 yea	irs
		В	S.E.	Sig.	Exp(B)	В	S.E.	Sig.	Exp(B)	В	S.E.	Sig.	Exp(B)	В	S.E.	Sig.	Exp(B)	В	S.E.	Sig.	Exp(B)	В	S.E.	Sig.	Exp(B)	В	S.E.	Sig.	Exp(B)
SSP participation status (S	tudents who didn't participate in any S	SP progra	ıms as re	ference g	roup)																								
SSP students		.656	.105	.000	1.927	.502	.086	.000	1.652	.517	.080	.000	1.677	.370	.074	.000	1.448	.103	.084	.220	1.109	.286	.078	.000	1.331	.324	.077	.000	1.382
Controlling variables																													
Gender (Male as the reference	ce group)	.083	.072	.245	1.087	.076	.060	.205	1.079	.040	.057	.484	1.040	169	.053	.001	.844	.291	.058	.000	1.338	.222	.056	.000	1.248	.168	.055	.002	1.183
URM (Non-URM as the refer	ence group)	061	.073	.405	.941	.075	.062	.226	1.078	.162	.059	.006	1.176	.177	.055	.001	1.193	090	.060	.135	.914	034	.057	.549	0.966	044	.057	.443	0.957
FGS (Non-FGS as the refere	nce group)	372	.084	.000	.689	245	.070	.000	.782	245	.065	.000	.783	098	.060	.105	.907	371	.064	.000	.690	373	.063	.000	.689	333	.063	.000	.716
PELL (Not eligible students a	s the reference group)	103	.080	.198	.902	168	.068	.013	.845	195	.064	.002	.823	.030	.060	.620	1.030	373	.065	.000	.689	305	.062	.000	.737	268	.062	.000	.765
HS GPA		.935	.083	.000	2.546	1.002	.070	.000	2.725	.979	.066	.000	2.663	.380	.060	.000	1.462	1.198	.067	.000	3.312	1.317	.066	.000	3.730	1.291	.065	.000	3.636
English remediation (Non-Re	m students as the reference group)	.028	.077	.717	1.028	.020	.065	.752	1.021	.005	.061	.929	1.005	.226	.057	.000	1.254	229	.061	.000	0.796	063	.059	.283	0.939	032	.059	.588	0.969
Math remediation (Non-Rem	students as the reference group)	117	.078	.134	.890	195	.065	.003	.823	169	.061	.006	.845	.037	.058	.521	1.038	250	.062	.000	.779	225	.060	.000	.798	185	.060	.002	.831
Constant		-1.175	.281	.000	.309	-2.086	.239	.000	.124	-2.364	.226	.000	.094	-1.463	.210	.000	.232	-4.010	.236	.000	.018	-4.025	.227	.000	.018	-3.763	.225	.000	.023
Omnibus Tests of Model	Chi-square		211	.779			315	.334			337	.341			101	.382			826	667			781.	.350			690	.911	
Coofficients	df			8				8				8				8			8	3			8	3			1	8	
coencients	Sig.		.0	000			.0	00			.0	00			.0	000			.0	00			.0	00			.0	00	
	-2 Log likelihood		569	6.663			7419	9.912			815	7.519			909	8.487			7989	.782			8428	8.072			8474	4.607	
Model Summary	Cox & Snell R Square		.0	)31			.0	46			.0	49			.0	015			.1	17			.1	11			.0	99	
	Nagelkerke R Square		.0	)53			.0	67			.0	69			.0	)20			.1	59			.1	48			.1	32	
Hosmer and Lemeshow Ch	Chi-square		8.	149			4.9	934			5.	362			9.	704			4.5	36			7.3	349			4.7	790	
Tect	df			8				8				8				8			8	3			8	3			1	8	
TCSC	o.			10			7	CE			-	10			,	006			0	he			5	00			7	00	

### Table 1 Results of fitting logistic regression models on retention and graduation for SSP participation status

### Technical note:

Binary logistic regression models are applied to test the effect of SSP participation on retention and graduation. The dependent variable (DV) is retention or graduation status (0 = Not retained/not graduate, and 1 = Retained/Graduated). The independent variable (IV) of interest is SSP participation status (0=Not participate and 1= Participated). To isolate the effect of SSP participation status from other factors' influences, the following variables are also included in the models as control variables: Gender, URM status, FGS status, Pell grant eligibility status, HS GPA, English and Math remediation status. The estimated odds ratio, EXP(B) highlighted in the table above, is used to determine the effect of SSP participation status. That the estimated EXP(B) is larger than one means that SSP participation status has a positive effect on retention and graduation.

		-					(courto o	a nating it	Jgiane re	gression	mouela	in retent	ion and g	addation		participa	aon amo												
									Rete	ntion													Gradu	Jation					
		1st-year Retention 2nd-year Retention		:	3rd-year	Retentio	n	4th-year Retention				Graduated in 5 years			Graduated in 6years			rs	Graduated in 7 years			rs							
		В	S.E.	Sig.	Exp(B)	В	S.E.	Sig.	Exp(B)	В	S.E.	Sig.	Exp(B)	В	S.E.	Sig.	Exp(B)	В	S.E.	Sig.	Exp(B)	В	S.E.	Sig.	Exp(B)	В	S.E.	Sig.	Exp(B)
SSP participation amou	nt (Students who didn't participate in any SS	SP progra	ms as the	e referen	ce group	)																							
Students who participated	d only one SSP program	.672	.119	.000	1.958	.481	.096	.000	1.618	.495	.090	.000	1.640	.365	.082	.000	1.441	.128	.093	.166	1.137	.281	.087	.001	1.325	.288	.086	.001	1.333
Students who participated	two or more SSP programs	.618	.166	.000	1.855	.554	.138	.000	1.740	.574	.131	.000	1.776	.384	.122	.002	1.468	.026	.148	.862	1.026	.299	.130	.022	1.348	.421	.127	.001	1.523
Controlling variables					•				•																· · · · ·				
Gender (Male as the refer	rence group)	.083	.072	.248	1.086	.077	.060	.201	1.080	.040	.057	.476	1.041	169	.053	.001	.845	.290	.058	.000	1.337	.222	.056	.000	1.248	.170	.055	.002	1.185
URM (Non-URM as the re	eference group)	061	.073	.408	.941	.075	.062	.229	1.078	.162	.059	.006	1.175	.177	.055	.001	1.193	090	.060	.136	.914	034	.057	.548	0.966	044	.057	.438	0.957
FGS (Non-FGS as the ref	ference group)	373	.084	.000	.689	245	.070	.000	.783	244	.065	.000	.783	097	.060	.106	.907	371	.064	.000	.690	373	.063	.000	.689	332	.063	.000	.717
PELL (Not eligible studen	ts as the reference group)	102	.080	.202	.903	170	.068	.013	.844	196	.064	.002	.822	.029	.060	.624	1.030	371	.065	.000	.690	305	.063	.000	.737	271	.062	.000	.763
HS GPA		.934	.083	.000	2.544	1.004	.070	.000	2.729	.981	.066	.000	2.667	.380	.060	.000	1.462	1.196	.067	.000	3.306	1.317	.066	.000	3.732	1.294	.065	.000	3.647
English remediation (Non-	-Rem students as the reference group)	.028	.077	.720	1.028	.021	.065	.747	1.021	.006	.061	.923	1.006	.227	.057	.000	1.254	229	.061	.000	0.795	063	.059	.283	0.939	031	.059	.597	0.969
Math remediation (Non-R	em students as the reference group)	116	.078	.137	.891	196	.065	.003	.822	170	.061	.006	.843	.037	.058	.525	1.037	248	.062	.000	.780	226	.060	.000	.798	188	.060	.002	.829
Constant		-1.172	.281	.000	.310	-2.091	.239	.000	.124	-2.368	.226	.000	.094	-1.464	.210	.000	.231	-4.004	.236	.000	.018	-4.026	.228	.000	.018	-3.772	.225	.000	.023
0 1 T ( (11 ))	Chi-square		211	.863			315	5.564			337	.651		101.406			827.367			781.365			691.850						
Coefficients	df		1	9				9			9	Ð			1	9		9				9			9				
	Sig.		.0	00			.0	00			.0	00			.0	00			.0	00			.0	00			.0	00	
	-2 Log likelihood		569F	6.579			7419	9.683			8157	.209			9098	8.467			7989	.367			8428	1.057			8473.668		
Model Summary	Cox & Snell R Square		.0	31			.0	46			.0	50			.0	15			.1	17			.11	11		1	.0	99	
	Nagelkerke R Square		.0	53			.0	67			.0	69			.020			.1	59			.1/	48		1	.1	32		
	Chi-square		11.	243			4.6	660			6.4	56			10.	552		7.740				5.904				3.758			
Hosmer and Lemeshow	df	1	1	8				8			1	3				8		8				Ę	3		8				
100	Sig.	1	.1	89			.7	'93			.5	96			.2	28		.459				.658				.878			

#### Table 2 Results of fitting logistic regression models on retention and graduation for SSP participation amount

#### Technical note:

Binary logistic regression models are applied to test the effect of SSP participation on retention and graduation. The dependent variable (DV) is retention or graduation status (0 = Not retained/Graduated). The independent variable (IV) of interest is SSP participation amount which is the total number of SSP programs in which a students participated (0=Not participated in only one, and 2=Participated in two or more). To isolate the effect of SSP participation amount from other factors' influences, the following variables are also included in the models as control variables: Gender, URM status, FeI grant eligibility status, HS GPA, English and Math remediation status. The estimated odds ratio, EXP(B) highlighted in the table above, is used to determine the effect of SSP participation amount. That the estimated EXP(B) is larger than one means that SSP participation amount has a positive effect on retention and graduation.

### Table 1 Students' characteristics\* (FTFTF cohorts)

\* Under-represented minorities (URM) include African American, American, American, Hispanic, Native Hawaiians or Pacific Islanders. First generation students (FGS) are students whoseparent(s) doesn't have a 4-year degreeor higher. Pell grant eligible students include students who are eligible to apply for Pell grants. Note: The classification of two student groups is based on students' participation status. The group of Summer Bridge includes students who participated in Summer Bridge includes students who participated in Summer Bridge program at their entering terms. The group of Others includes students who never participated in any of fwe support programs.

			Demogra	phics-I							Demo	graphic	s-II (Etl	nnicity)						A	cademic pre	eparation		
		нс	Female %	URM %	FGS %	Pell eligibility %	Special admission %			African Americ an	Americ an Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Hispa	White	Other/ Unkno wn	Non- Reside nt Alien	Grand Total			Avg. HS GPA	Avg. SAT_COM P	Eng remediation %	Math remediation %
Fall 2003	Others	2,064	59.0%	31.4%	58.7%	38.2%	4.5%	Fall 2003	Others	6.5%	0.8%	13.1%	0.5%	23.6%	43.7%	10.4%	1.4%	100.0%	Fall 2003	Others	3.34	980	35.6%	45.0%
	Summer Bridge	136	61.8%	69.9%	95.9%	97.1%	52.9%		Summer Bri	16.2%		19.1%	0.7%	52.9%	2.9%	7.4%	0.7%	100.0%		Summer Bridge	2.98	751	73.5%	76.5%
Fall 2004	Others	1,853	57.6%	32.0%	56.9%	35.7%	2.9%	Fall 2004	Others	5.9%	0.5%	14.7%	0.6%	24.9%	44.7%	7.9%	0.7%	100.0%	Fall 2004	Others	3.37	989	54.7%	48.4%
	Summer Bridge	92	67.4%	68.5%	97.8%	97.8%	51.1%		Summer Bri	13.0%		26.1%	2.2%	53.3%	3.3%	2.2%		100.0%		Summer Bridge	3.07	756	91.3%	90.2%
Fall 2005	Others	1,885	57.7%	36.2%	58.4%	37.2%	3.4%	Fall 2005	Others	7.7%	0.8%	15.3%	0.3%	27.3%	40.9%	6.4%	1.2%	100.0%	Fall 2005	Others	3.31	974	54.5%	48.1%
	Summer Bridge	92	60.9%	66.3%	97.7%	98.9%	60.9%		Summer Bri	16.3%		33.7%	1.1%	48.9%				100.0%		Summer Bridge	2.92	752	92.4%	98.9%
		нс	Female %	URM %	FGS %	Pell eligibility %	Special admission %			African Americ an	Americ an Indian	Asian	Pacific Islande r	Hispa	White	Other/ Unkno wn	Non- Reside nt Alien	Grand Total			Avg. HS GPA	Avg. SAT_COM P	Eng remediation %	Math remediation %
Overall	Others	5,802	58.1%	33.2%	58.0%	37.1%	3.6%	Overall	Others	6.7%	0.7%	14.3%	0.5%	25.2%	43.1%	8.3%	1.1%	100.0%	Overall	Others	3.34	981	47.9%	47.1%
	Summer Bridge	320	63.1%	68.4%	97.0%	97.8%	54.7%		Summer Bri	15.3%		25.3%	1.3%	51.9%	2.2%	3.8%	0.3%	100.0%		Summer Bridge	2.99	753	84.1%	86.9%

### Table 2 Retention and graduation (FTFTF cohorts)

		HC	1st-year retention	2nd-year retention	3rd-year retention	4th-year retention	4-year graduation	5-year graduation	6-year graduation	Continuing rates after 6th yr	7-year graduation	8-year graduation	9-year graduation
Fall 2003	Summer Bridge	136	83.1%	75.0%	66.9%	55.9%	5.9%	19.9%	36.8%	13.2%	45.6%	47.1%	48.5%
	Others	2,064	82.9%	72.5%	64.9%	46.2%	15.6%	38.9%	49.6%	9.0%	54.3%	56.4%	57.8%
Fall 2004	Summer Bridge	92	87.0%	73.9%	65.2%	52.2%	6.5%	26.1%	38.0%	10.9%	42.4%	45.7%	
	Others	1,853	84.9%	72.9%	66.7%	46.0%	18.7%	41.7%	51.9%	9.0%	56.7%	59.0%	
Fall 2005	Summer Bridge	92	83.7%	68.5%	68.5%	57.6%	6.5%	19.6%	35.9%	21.7%	51.1%		
	Others	1,885	82.1%	73.3%	66.6%	47.2%	15.3%	39.9%	50.9%	9.1%	55.5%		
		НС	1st-year retention	2nd-year retention	3rd-year retention	4th-year retention	4-year graduation	5-year graduation	6-year graduation	Continuing rates after 6th yr	7-year graduation	8-year graduation	9-year graduation
Overall	Others	5,802	83.3%	72.9%	66.0%	46.4%	16.5%	40.1%	50.7%	9.0%	55.4%	57.6%	57.8%

6.3%

21.6%

36.9%

15.0%

46.3%

46.5%

48.5%

55.3%

Note: The overall 9-year graduation rate is for Fall 2003 cohort only. The overall 8-year graduation rate is for Fall 2003 and 2004 cohorts.

320 84.4% 72.8% 66.9%

Summer Bridge

		Ta	ble 2	Acadei (	mic pe FTFTI	rforma = coho	ince a rts)	cross t	erms					
			1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th
Fall 2003	HC enrolled by the	Others	2,031	1,906	1,685	1,591	1,459	1,416	1,319	1,262	936	747	404	300
	end of terms	Summer Bridge	135	127	110	106	99	93	89	85	73	63	42	34
	Avg. Term GPA	Others	2.81	2.71	2.75	2.71	2.76	2.79	2.88	2.91	2.85	2.79	2.63	2.66
		Summer Bridge	2.72	2.30	2.38	2.30	2.40	2.56	2.51	2.60	2.56	2.30	2.63	2.70
	Avg. Cumulative Others	Others	2.81	2.79	2.86	2.87	2.89	2.90	2.93	2.94	2.86	2.81	2.67	2.66
	GPA	Summer Bridge	2.75	2.59	2.66	2.59	2.59	2.63	2.64	2.65	2.63	2.59	2.62	2.62
	Avg. Cumulative	Others	15	27	41	55	69	82	97	110	117	125	123	129
	Units Earned	Summer Bridge	16	27	38	49	62	73	85	97	108	118	128	136
	Avg. Term Units	Others	13	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	13	13	12	12
	Enrolled	Summer Bridge	19	12	11	12	12	12	12	13	13	12	13	13
	Avg.Term Units	Others	12	12	13	13	14	14	14	13	13	13	12	12
	Earned	Summer Bridge	13	11	11	12	12	13	12	12	12	12	12	12
	Probation/Disqulifi	Others	15.9%	17.3%	14.5%	13.7%	11.5%	10.4%	9.0%	8.6%	6.6%	5.7%	8.6%	8.0%
	cation (%)	Summer Bridge	19.3%	29.1%	27.3%	29.2%	22.2%	16.1%	18.0%	14.1%	6.8%	7.9%	4.7%	2.9%
Fall 2004	HC enrolled by the	Others	1,819	1,740	1,546	1,462	1,324	1,286	1,220	1,177	845	665	372	277
	end of terms	Summer Bridge	90	85	77	74	65	59	58	56	47	43	24	17
	Avg. Term GPA	Others	2.85	2.70	2.70	2.77	2.83	2.92	2.93	2.92	2.86	2.78	2.69	2.67
		Summer Bridge	2.85	2.56	2.43	2.43	2.44	2.52	2.72	2.45	2.51	2.52	2.45	2.07
	Avg. Cumulative	Others	2.85	2.80	2.85	2.88	2.92	2.95	2.97	2.97	2.87	2.83	2.72	2.69
	GPA	Summer Bridge	2.85	2.77	2.74	2.71	2.74	2.75	2.78	2.75	2.73	2.71	2.58	2.46
	Avg. Cumulative	Others	15	28	42	56	71	85	100	113	119	127	128	135
	Units Earned	Summer Bridge	17	29	41	53	66	79	93	106	115	127	129	133
	Avg. Term Units	Others	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	13	13	12	12
	Enrolled	Summer Bridge	19	13	12	12	13	13	14	13	12	12	10	10
	Avg.Term Units	Others	12	13	14	14	14	14	14	14	13	13	12	12
	Earned	Summer Bridge	14	12	11	12	13	13	13	13	13	12	11	11
	Probation/Disqulifi	Others	15.9%	16.7%	14.7%	12.2%	9.7%	7.2%	6.3%	4.9%	5.7%	4.7%	5.1%	4.0%
	cation (%)	Summer Bridge	13.3%	18.8%	20.8%	20.3%	15.4%	13.6%	3.4%	7.1%	8.5%	4.7%	4.2%	11.8%
Fall 2005	HC enrolled by the	Others	1,841	1,767	1,527	1,455	1,359	1,308	1,248	1,200	884	695	378	291
	end of terms	Summer Bridge	92	89	76	71	62	68	61	60	53	48	38	37
	Avg. Term GPA	Others	2.77	2.63	2.72	2.75	2.74	2.78	2.85	2.87	2.90	2.85	2.69	2.69
		Summer Bridge	2.90	2.37	2.44	2.28	2.59	2.45	2.72	2.63	2.47	2.67	2.67	2.66
	Avg. Cumulative	Others	2.78	2.72	2.84	2.85	2.87	2.88	2.92	2.94	2.88	2.85	2.72	2.68
	GPA	Summer Bridge	2.89	2.66	2.73	2.66	2.76	2.69	2.79	2.79	2.75	2.73	2.70	2.70
	Avg. Cumulative	Others	15	27	42	55	55 70 84	84	98	111	119	127	127	133
	Units Earned	Summer Bridge	15	26	38	49	64	74	91	103	114	124	131	142
	Avg. Term Units	Others	13	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	13	13	12	11
	Enrolled	Summer Bridge	17	13	12	12	12	13	13	12	12	12	12	12
	Avg.Term Units	Others	12	13	14	14	14	14	14	14	13	12	12	11
	Earned	Summer Bridge	13	12	11	12	12	13	13	12	13	12	12	12
	Probation/Disqulifi	Others	18.1%	18.3%	13.6%	12.3%	9.5%	7.0%	5.8%	5.1%	3.7%	4.2%	5.3%	7.2%
	cation (%)	Summer Bridge	15.2%	25.8%	21.1%	16.9%	9.5%	13.2%	4.8%	5.0%	5.7%	6.3%	7.9%	2.7%

		Ta	able 3	Acade	mic pe (FTFT	erforma F coho	ance a orts)	cross f	erms					
			1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th
Overall	HC enrolled by the	Others	5,691	5,413	4,758	4,508	4,142	4,010	3,787	3,639	2,665	2,107	1,154	868
	end of terms	Summer Bridge	317	301	263	251	226	220	208	201	173	154	104	88
	Avg. Term GPA	Others	2.81	2.68	2.72	2.74	2.77	2.83	2.88	2.90	2.87	2.81	2.67	2.67
		Summer Bridge	2.81	2.40	2.41	2.33	2.46	2.51	2.63	2.57	2.52	2.48	2.60	2.56
Avg GP Avg	Avg. Cumulative GPA	Others	2.81	2.77	2.85	2.87	2.89	2.91	2.94	2.95	2.87	2.83	2.70	2.68
		Summer Bridge	2.82	2.66	2.70	2.65	2.68	2.68	2.72	2.72	2.69	2.67	2.64	2.62
	Avg. Cumulative Units Earned	Others	15	27	42	55	70	84	98	111	118	126	126	132
		Summer Bridge	16	27	39	50	64	75	89	101	112	122	129	138
	Avg. Term Units	Others	13	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	13	13	12	12
	Enrolled	Summer Bridge	18	13	12	12	12	13	13	13	12	12	12	12
	Avg.Term Units	Others	12	13	14	14	14	14	14	14	13	13	12	12
	Earned	Summer Bridge	14	11	11	12	12	13	13	13	12	12	12	12
Probatio	Probation/Disquific	Others	16.6%	17.4%	14.3%	12.8%	10.3%	8.3%	7.1%	6.3%	5.4%	4.9%	6.4%	6.4%
	ation (%)	Summer Bridge	16.4%	25.2%	23.6%	23.1%	16.7%	14.5%	10.0%	9.5%	6.9%	6.5%	5.7%	4.5%