Part 2-A: Institutional Leadership

		Awar	eness			Impoi	tance		Performance				
	Exte	ernal	Inte	rnal	Exte	ernal	Inte	rnal	Exte	ernal	Inte	ernal	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
1. Articulates mission ex	rpectations	that contrib	ute to econ	omic growth	in the com	munity.			_				
Poor/Fair	11	16.7%	8	18.6%	2	3.0%	3	7.0%	8	12.1%	6	14.0%	
Good/Superior	52	78.8%	35	81.4%	58	87.9%	39	90.7%	51	77.3%	37	86.0%	
No Opinion/Skipped	3	4.5%	0	0.0%	6	9.1%	1	2.3%	7	10.6%	0	0.0%	
Works closely with go	vernments	and busine	sses to und	erstand reg	ional econo	mic prioritie	S.						
Poor/Fair	7	10.6%	6	14.0%	2	3.0%	3	7.0%	6	9.1%	6	14.0%	
Good/Superior	54	81.8%	34	79.1%	58	87.9%	38	88.4%	54	81.8%	35	81.4%	
No Opinion/Skipped	5	7.6%	3	7.0%	6	9.1%	2	4.7%	6	9.1%	2	4.7%	
3. Identifies key strength government leaders and		•			_	ith the strer	gths and in	novation ne	eds of region	onal industry	, expectation	ons of	
Poor/Fair	8	12.1%	6	14.0%	4	6.1%	2	4.7%	12	18.2%	8	18.6%	
Good/Superior	51	77.3%	36	83.7%	53	80.3%	40	93.0%	46	69.7%	33	76.7%	
No Opinion/Skipped	7	10.6%	1	2.3%	9	13.6%	1	2.3%	8	12.1%	2	4.7%	
4. Actively focuses on th	ne training a	ınd educatio	on of incum	bent and fut	ure workers	s to create th	ne skills ned	essary for r	egional con	npetitivenes	s in the 21s	t century.	
Poor/Fair	9	13.6%	4	9.3%	3	4.5%	2	4.7%	15	22.7%	7	16.3%	
Good/Superior	51	77.3%	37	86.0%	56	84.8%	37	86.0%	41	62.1%	34	79.1%	
No Opinion/Skipped	6	9.1%	2	4.7%	7	10.6%	4	9.3%	10	15.2%	2	4.7%	
5. Actively engages sen	ior campus	leaders in r	egional ecc	nomic deve	lopment dia	alogue and i	nitiatives.						
Poor/Fair	9	13.6%	8	18.6%	5	7.6%	5	11.6%	11	16.7%	10	23.3%	
Good/Superior	42	63.6%	32	74.4%	50	75.8%	35	81.4%	37	56.1%	30	69.8%	
No Opinion/Skipped	15	22.7%	3	7.0%	11	16.7%	3	7.0%	18	27.3%	3	7.0%	
6. University informs fac	culty of oppo	ortunities for	r, and the b	enefits of, th	eir participa	ation in regio	onal econon	nic develop	ment activiti	ies.			
Poor/Fair	5	7.6%	12	27.9%	4	6.1%	5	11.6%	8	12.1%	8	18.6%	
Good/Superior	38	57.6%	24	55.8%	47	71.2%	33	76.7%	33	50.0%	26	60.5%	
No Opinion/Skipped	23	34.8%	7	16.3%	15	22.7%	5	11.6%	25	37.9%	9	20.9%	

- 1. Some of the highest performance ratings in the survey are on Q1, Q2, & Q3
- 2. Campus respondents are more likely to believe Fresno State does a good/superior job at this than external respondents do. Q4 & Q5
- 3. External respondents are less likely to have an opinion about/be aware of Q4 & Q5 than campus respondents

Part 2-B: University Creates/Encourages Innovation and Partnerships

l		Awar	eness			Impor	tance			Perfor	mance	
	Exte	ernal	Inte	rnal	Exte	ernal	Inte	rnal	Exte	rnal	Inte	rnal
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
7. Has reward systems t	that foster f	aculty involv	ement in e	conomic de	velopment (e.g., techno	logy transfe	er, creation of	of intellectua	al property,	award of pa	tents and
licenses, and establishm	nent of start	t-up compar	nies) and co	mmunity pa	rtnerships.				_			
Poor/Fair	15	22.7%	21	48.8%	5	7.6%	4	9.3%	11	16.7%	14	32.6%
Good/Superior	21	31.8%	13	30.2%	44	66.7%	33	76.7%	25	37.9%	15	34.9%
No Opinion/Skipped	30	45.5%	9	20.9%	17	25.8%	6	14.0%	30	45.5%	14	32.6%
8. Actively supports and	works to s	trengthen ui	niversity-co	mmunity par	rtnerships.							
Poor/Fair	7	10.6%	4	9.3%	2	3.0%	2	4.7%	5	7.6%	8	18.6%
Good/Superior	54	81.8%	39	90.7%	58	87.9%	41	95.3%	53	80.3%	34	79.1%
No Opinion/Skipped	5	7.6%	0	0.0%	6	9.1%	0	0.0%	8	12.1%	1	2.3%
Supports consulting a	nd exchan	ge programs	s for faculty.	, internship	opportunitie	s for studer	ts and othe	r programs	that enhance	e university	-community	1
partnerships.										·	·	
Poor/Fair	9	13.6%	10	23.3%	2	3.0%	2	4.7%	6	9.1%	9	20.9%
Good/Superior	41	62.1%	31	72.1%	51	77.3%	38	88.4%	38	57.6%	29	67.4%
No Opinion/Skipped	16	24.2%	2	4.7%	13	19.7%	3	7.0%	22	33.3%	5	11.6%
10. Brings different parts	s of the univ	versity toget	her to addre	ess complex	community	y needs.					<u>l</u>	
Poor/Fair	10	15.2%	13	30.2%	4	6.1%	6	14.0%	10	15.2%	14	32.6%
Good/Superior	43	65.2%	28	65.1%	50	75.8%	37	86.0%	40	60.6%	25	58.1%
No Opinion/Skipped	13	19.7%	2	4.7%	12	18.2%	0	0.0%	16	24.2%	4	9.3%
11. Supports alignment	of curriculu	m to meet c	ontinuing e	ducation red	quirements (of industry.						
Poor/Fair	8	12.1%	13	30.2%	3	4.5%	5	11.6%	7	10.6%	12	27.9%
Good/Superior	42	63.6%	23	53.5%	51	77.3%	32	74.4%	38	57.6%	19	44.2%
No Opinion/Skipped	16	24.2%	7	16.3%	12	18.2%	6	14.0%	21	31.8%	12	27.9%
12. Encourages the deve	elopment o	f efficient co	ontracting p	rocedures fo	or doing bus	siness with t	he institutio	n and to acc	cess univers	ity research	and instru	ctional
resources.	10	45.00/		0.7 60/		l 6.464		11.00(17.00/		
Poor/Fair	10	15.2%	11	25.6%	4	6.1%	5	11.6%	10	15.2%	18	41.9%
Good/Superior	24	36.4%	22	51.2%	42	63.6%	29	67.4%	23	34.8%	14	32.6%
No Opinion/Skipped	32	48.5%	10	23.3%	20	30.3%	9	20.9%	33	50.0%	11	25.6%

- 1. Q8, actively working to strengthen university-community partnerships, received one of the highest performance ratings in the survey
- 2. The largest percentage of external respondents did not rate awareness or performance on Q12, but did rate it important, suggesting that they do not know about this aspect of the campus. Campus respondents are more aware of the issue, believe it's at least as important as external respondents do, and are more likely to rate performance low.
- 3. A large portion of respondents were unable to answer or had no opinion on several of these questions.

Part 2-C: University as a Source of Activities that Benefit the Public

		Awar	eness			Impoi	rtance		Performance				
	Exte	ernal	Inte	rnal	Exte	ernal	Inte	ernal	Exte	ernal	Inte	ernal	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
13. University assets sup	oport and e	nhance regi	onal econo	mic activity.				_		_	_	_	
Poor/Fair	7	10.6%	8	18.6%	3	4.5%	4	9.3%	7	10.6%	6	14.0%	
Good/Superior	51	77.3%	31	72.1%	53	80.3%	36	83.7%	49	74.2%	32	74.4%	
No Opinion/Skipped	8	12.1%	4	9.3%	10	15.2%	3	7.0%	10	15.2%	5	11.6%	
14. Student education pr	rograms (de	egree, certifi	cate, contin	uing educa	tion) are tim	nely and alig	n with char	ging region	al needs.			•	
Poor/Fair	6	9.1%	9	20.9%	2	3.0%	3	7.0%	9	13.6%	11	25.6%	
Good/Superior	51	77.3%	31	72.1%	54	81.8%	38	88.4%	45	68.2%	29	67.4%	
No Opinion/Skipped	9	13.6%	3	7.0%	10	15.2%	2	4.7%	12	18.2%	3	7.0%	
15. Develops and mainta	ains a vibra	nt technolog	gy transfer a	and commer	rcialization of	capability er	nphasizing	regional eco	onomic grov	vth objective	es.		
Poor/Fair	10	15.2%	15	34.9%	3	4.5%	5	11.6%	14	21.2%	17	39.5%	
Good/Superior	37	56.1%	18	41.9%	48	72.7%	29	67.4%	31	47.0%	14	32.6%	
No Opinion/Skipped	19	28.8%	10	23.3%	15	22.7%	9	20.9%	21	31.8%	12	27.9%	
16. Links the university t for faculty and students,										olders, entre	epreneurshi	p programs	
Poor/Fair	9	13.6%	12	27.9%	3	4.5%	4	9.3%	8	12.1%	7	16.3%	
Good/Superior	46	69.7%	24	55.8%	53	80.3%	31	72.1%	46	69.7%	26	60.5%	
No Opinion/Skipped	11	16.7%	7	16.3%	10	15.2%	8	18.6%	12	18.2%	10	23.3%	
17. Promotes linkages a	nd lowers b	arriers betw	een faculty	and region	al companie	es seeking a	access to ex	pertise.					
Poor/Fair	13	19.7%	11	25.6%	3	4.5%	4	9.3%	14	21.2%	14	32.6%	
Good/Superior	35	53.0%	21	48.8%	47	71.2%	30	69.8%	31	47.0%	16	37.2%	
No Opinion/Skipped	18	27.3%	11	25.6%	16	24.2%	9	20.9%	21	31.8%	13	30.2%	
18. Works with business dynamic local environme					university's	visual and p	erforming a	arts, sports a	and other cu	ıltural activit	ties to cultiv	ate a	
Poor/Fair	8	12.1%	7	16.3%	6	9.1%	3	7.0%	17	25.8%	5	11.6%	
Good/Superior	52	78.8%	31	72.1%	53	80.3%	35	81.4%	41	62.1%	30	69.8%	
No Opinion/Skipped	6	9.1%	5	11.6%	7	10.6%	5	11.6%	8	12.1%	8	18.6%	

- 1. Q 13, university assets support regional economic activity received some of the highest ratings in the survey.
- 2. External respondents rate Q15 performance higher than campus respondents did, but many people were not aware of this capability.
- 3. Q17 high percentage of non-responses, suggesting lack of awareness

Part 2-D: University Contributes to the Regional Innovation Economy

Part 2-D. Offiversity Con		Awareness				Impor	tance		Performance				
	Exte	ernal	Inte	rnal	Exte	ernal	Inte	rnal	Exte	ernal	Inte	rnal	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
19. Fosters strategic pub	lic-private p	artnerships	and prograi	ms, includin	g those with	national lal	oratories a	nd local and	d regional in	dustry.			
Poor/Fair	11	16.7%	15	34.9%	3	4.5%	6	14.0%	6	9.1%	13	30.2%	
Good/Superior	41	62.1%	20	46.5%	54	81.8%	28	65.1%	38	57.6%	17	39.5%	
No Opinion/Skipped	14	21.2%	8	18.6%	9	13.6%	9	20.9%	22	33.3%	13	30.2%	
20. Creates an infrastruct assistance, and venture of		pports innov	ation, such	as program	s that enab	le proof of c	oncept/redu	ction to pra	ctice R&D, p	oilot facilities	s, technical		
Poor/Fair	13	19.7%	15	34.9%	2	3.0%	3	7.0%	13	19.7%	14	32.6%	
Good/Superior	35	53.0%	17	39.5%	49	74.2%	28	65.1%	30	45.5%	14	32.6%	
No Opinion/Skipped	18	27.3%	11	25.6%	15	22.7%	12	27.9%	23	34.8%	15	34.9%	
21. Partners with commu	nity membe	ers to define	public and	private inve	stments tha	t catalyze ed	conomic and	d innovative	growth.				
Poor/Fair	10	15.2%	12	27.9%	1	1.5%	3	7.0%	9	13.6%	11	25.6%	
Good/Superior	46	69.7%	19	44.2%	53	80.3%	28	65.1%	40	60.6%	16	37.2%	
No Opinion/Skipped	10	15.2%	12	27.9%	12	18.2%	12	27.9%	17	25.8%	16	37.2%	
22. Develops partnership	s with gove	rnment at a	Il levels to re	etain and ex	pand existi	ng business	es, create a	nd attract n	ew business	ses, and bui	ld industry o	clusters.	
Poor/Fair	11	16.7%	15	34.9%	5	7.6%	5	11.6%	9	13.6%	12	27.9%	
Good/Superior	44	66.7%	18	41.9%	52	78.8%	29	67.4%	38	57.6%	15	34.9%	
No Opinion/Skipped	11	16.7%	10	23.3%	9	13.6%	9	20.9%	19	28.8%	16	37.2%	
23. Initiates and participa	tes in effort	s to train stu	udents to wo	ork in those	industries.								
Poor/Fair	7	10.6%	11	25.6%	3	4.5%	5	11.6%	8	12.1%	12	27.9%	
Good/Superior	45	68.2%	24	55.8%	52	78.8%	31	72.1%	40	60.6%	20	46.5%	
No Opinion/Skipped	14	21.2%	8	18.6%	11	16.7%	7	16.3%	18	27.3%	11	25.6%	
24. Provides technical as	sistance an	d support to	small busi	nesses.									
Poor/Fair	12	18.2%	14	32.6%	1	1.5%	6	14.0%	10	15.2%	10	23.3%	
Good/Superior	35	53.0%	18	41.9%	49	74.2%	25	58.1%	33	50.0%	16	37.2%	
No Opinion/Skipped	19	28.8%	11	25.6%	16	24.2%	12	27.9%	23	34.8%	17	39.5%	
25. Facilitates collaborati	on across b	oundaries to	o overcome	regional ba	rriers to inn	ovation.							
Poor/Fair	12	18.2%	13	30.2%	2	3.0%	4	9.3%	9	13.6%	11	25.6%	
Good/Superior	35	53.0%	19	44.2%	48	72.7%	28	65.1%	37	56.1%	16	37.2%	
No Opinion/Skipped	19	28.8%	11	25.6%	16	24.2%	11	25.6%	20	30.3%	16	37.2%	
Notes:													

- 1. Many people, both internally and externally, are unaware of Fresno State's regional innovation economy contributions and did not respond
- 2. Q19 & Q21 External respondents are more likely to be aware of these issues, find them important, and rate performance higher than campus respondents.
- 3. External respondents rated some aspects of Q 22, 24, & 25 higher than campus respondents did.
- 4. Lot of no opinion/non-responses to most of these questions, suggesting a lack of familiarity with these issues.

Part 2-E: Educational Opportunities and Programs are Relevant

		Awar	eness			Impor	tance		Performance				
	Exte	ernal	Inte	rnal	Exte	ernal	Inte	rnal	Exte	ernal	Inte	rnal	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
26. Creates a culture of	entreprene	urship acros	s the institu	tion, includi	ng the deve	elopment of	entrepreneu	ırship oppo	rtunities for	students.			
Poor/Fair	11	16.7%	6	14.0%	2	3.0%	4	9.3%	10	15.2%	11	25.6%	
Good/Superior	44	66.7%	32	74.4%	54	81.8%	32	74.4%	42	63.6%	26	60.5%	
No Opinion/Skipped	11	16.7%	5	11.6%	10	15.2%	7	16.3%	14	21.2%	6	14.0%	
27. Delivers flexible curr members to pursue care				- and throu	gh appropri	ate delivery	mechanism	ns - that ena	able student	s and comm	nunity workf	orce	
Poor/Fair	20	30.3%	11	25.6%	5	7.6%	5	11.6%	15	22.7%	14	32.6%	
Good/Superior	34	51.5%	27	62.8%	50	75.8%	32	74.4%	34	51.5%	22	51.2%	
No Opinion/Skipped	12	18.2%	5	11.6%	11	16.7%	6	14.0%	17	25.8%	7	16.3%	
28. Provides formal oppo	ortunities fo	r student sk	ill developm	nent through	innovative	internships	and coop e	xperiences	across a wi	de range of	academic p	orograms.	
Poor/Fair	11	16.7%	9	20.9%	3	4.5%	2	4.7%	8	12.1%	10	23.3%	
Good/Superior	47	71.2%	30	69.8%	56	84.8%	36	83.7%	41	62.1%	26	60.5%	
No Opinion/Skipped	8	12.1%	4	9.3%	7	10.6%	5	11.6%	17	25.8%	7	16.3%	
29. Ensures that placem	ent services	s highlight r	egional plac	ement oppo	ortunities.								
Poor/Fair	14	21.2%	11	25.6%	3	4.5%	4	9.3%	11	16.7%	7	16.3%	
Good/Superior	27	40.9%	16	37.2%	44	66.7%	26	60.5%	23	34.8%	17	39.5%	
No Opinion/Skipped	25	37.9%	16	37.2%	19	28.8%	13	30.2%	32	48.5%	19	44.2%	

- 1. Internal and external respondents generally agree on these issues.
- 2. High percentage are not familiar with campus placement services (Q29).

Part 2-F: University is Open, Accessible and Responsive to Community Needs

		Awareness				Impor	tance		Performance				
	External		Internal		External		Internal		External		Inte	ernal	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
30. Maintains user-friendly	/ systems to	access an	inventory of	f faculty and	staff exper	tise and adv	anced rese	arch and de	velopment f	acilities.			
Poor/Fair	18	27.3%	14	32.6%	5	7.6%	7	16.3%	16	24.2%	18	41.9%	
Good/Superior	26	39.4%	19	44.2%	41	62.1%	28	65.1%	22	33.3%	13	30.2%	
No Opinion/Skipped	22	33.3%	10	23.3%	20	30.3%	8	18.6%	28	42.4%	12	27.9%	
31. Provides a designated	point of co	ntact for ind	ustry and ed	conomic dev	elopment a	gencies.							
Poor/Fair	19	28.8%	15	34.9%	3	4.5%	4	9.3%	14	21.2%	12	27.9%	
Good/Superior	32	48.5%	13	30.2%	48	72.7%	26	60.5%	30	45.5%	12	27.9%	
No Opinion/Skipped	15	22.7%	15	34.9%	15	22.7%	13	30.2%	22	33.3%	19	44.2%	
32. Develops structures ar		(e.g., advis	ory groups,	forums) to f	facilitate me	etings betw	een key uni	versity facu	ty, staff and	l administrat	tors and the	region's	
business and government	leaders.	,		,									
Poor/Fair	11	16.7%	13	30.2%	3	4.5%	5	11.6%	12	18.2%	12	27.9%	
Good/Superior	45	68.2%	23	53.5%	55	83.3%	31	72.1%	41	62.1%	21	48.8%	
No Opinion/Skipped	10	15.2%	7	16.3%	8	12.1%	7	16.3%	13	19.7%	10	23.3%	
33. Facilitates civic discou	rse and cor	ntributes to c	community (understandir	ng of comple	ex issues.							
Poor/Fair	9	13.6%	12	27.9%	4	6.1%	3	7.0%	11	16.7%	14	32.6%	
Good/Superior	42	63.6%	21	48.8%	49	74.2%	30	69.8%	36	54.5%	20	46.5%	
No Opinion/Skipped	15	22.7%	10	23.3%	13	19.7%	10	23.3%	19	28.8%	9	20.9%	

- 1. Substantial nonresponses on Q 30, 31, and 33
- 2. Relatively fair/poor awareness and performance on Q30; campus respondents rate performance lower than external respondents did.
- 3. Campus respondents were more likely than external respondents to rate Q31 low.
- 4. Campus respondents were more likely than external respondents to rate performance on Q33 low.

Part 2-G: University Communicates Contributions, Successes and Achievements that Benefit Region

	Awareness					Impor	tance		Performance				
	External		Internal		External		Internal		External		Inte	ernal	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
34. Effectively communica	tes success	sful collabora	ations utilizi	ng all avenu	es of disser	mination.							
Poor/Fair	14	21.2%	12	27.9%	4	6.1%	4	9.3%	13	19.7%	15	34.9%	
Good/Superior	42	63.6%	26	60.5%	50	75.8%	33	76.7%	37	56.1%	22	51.2%	
No Opinion/Skipped	10	15.2%	5	11.6%	12	18.2%	6	14.0%	16	24.2%	6	14.0%	
35. Reports economic dev	elopment c	ontributions	that help lo	cal and regi	onal stakeh	olders.							
Poor/Fair	14	21.2%	10	23.3%	4	6.1%	4	9.3%	12	18.2%	15	34.9%	
Good/Superior	38	57.6%	25	58.1%	47	71.2%	32	74.4%	33	50.0%	19	44.2%	
No Opinion/Skipped	14	21.2%	8	18.6%	15	22.7%	7	16.3%	21	31.8%	9	20.9%	

^{1.} Q34 and Q35, campus respondents were more likely than external repondents to rate performance on these items fair/poor